Performance Study of a Radio-Frequency Field-Penetrable PET Insert for Simultaneous PET/MRI
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Abstract—Hybrid positron emission tomography (PET)/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has risen to the cutting edge of medical imaging technology as it allows simultaneous acquisition of structural, functional, and molecular information of the patient. A PET insert that can be installed into existing MR systems can in principle reduce the cost barriers for an existing MR site to achieve simultaneous PET/MRI compared to procuring an integrated PET+MRI system. The PET insert systems developed so far for PET/MRI require the radio-frequency (RF) transmitter coil to reside inside the PET ring as those PET inserts block the RF fields from the MRI system. Here we report for the first time on the performance of a full-ring brain-sized “RF-penetrable” PET insert we have recently completed. This insert allows the RF fields generated by the built-in body coil to penetrate the PET ring. The PET insert comprises a ring of 16 detector modules employing electro-optical coupled signal transmission and a multiplexing framework based on compressed sensing. Energy resolution, coincidence timing resolution (CTR), photopeak position, and coincidence count rate were acquired outside and inside a 3-Tesla MRI system under simultaneous acquisition to evaluate the impact of MRI on the PET performance. Coincidence count rate performance was evaluated by acquiring a cylinder source with high initial activity decaying over time. Tomographic imaging of two phantoms, a custom 6.5-cm diameter resolution phantom with hot rods of four different sizes (2.8-, 3.2-, 4.2-, and 5.2-mm diameter) and a 3-D Hoffman brain phantom, were performed to evaluate the imaging capability of the PET insert. The energy resolution at 511 keV and CTR acquired by the PET insert were 16.2 ± 0.1% and 5.3 ± 0.1 ns full width at half maximum, respectively, and remained stable during MRI operation except when the echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence was applied. The PET system starts to show saturation in coincidence count rate at 2.76 million photon counts per second. Most of the 2.8-mm diameter hot rods and main features of the 3-D Hoffman brain phantom were resolved by the PET insert, demonstrating its high spatial resolution and capability to image a complex tracer distribution mimicking that seen in the human brain.

Index Terms—Compressed sensing, electro-optical, insert, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), PET/MRI, radio frequency (RF)-penetrable.

I. INTRODUCTION

Combining medical imaging modalities that measure complementary, multiparametric information about a patient’s disease, such as anatomical and functional information in one study session has been proven to be of tremendous clinical value. For example, literature has shown that combining positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) improves staging and restaging accuracies for major cancers by an average about 10%–15% compared to using the two modalities separately [1]. Hybrid PET/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has recently risen to the cutting edge of medical imaging technology as it allows simultaneous acquisition of structural, functional, and molecular information of the patient. Combining PET and MRI also offers several advantages over PET/CT. First, MRI provides better soft-tissue contrast than CT, making it a superior modality in imaging regions like brain [2], [3], head and neck [4], breast [5], liver [6], and pelvis [7], [8]. Second, unlike CT, MRI does not introduce ionization radiation. A recent study has shown that whole-body PET/CT scanning is accompanied by substantial radiation dose and cancer risk, with the CT component contributing between 54%–81% of the total combined dose [9]; in principle, this can be avoided by using MRI instead of CT to acquire anatomy information. This significant reduction of radiation dose can...
The challenges and different approaches to combine PET and MRI have been extensively reviewed in [13]–[22]. One approach to combine PET and MRI for simultaneous acquisition is to integrate PET and MRI into a single “PET+MRI” system, which is used in both commercial whole-body PET/MRI systems (Siemens Biograph mMR [23] and GE SIGNA PET/MR [24]). A single integrated system can in principle provide the best software and hardware integration, which has benefits regarding the clinical workflow. However, the huge investment to purchase both PET and MRI subsystems as well as make necessary room renovation has deterred most care providers from procuring an integrated PET+MRI system, limiting growth of the field.

The other approach is to develop an insertable PET system that can be installed into an MRI system to achieve simultaneous PET/MRI. The insert approach can in principle significantly lower the above-mentioned cost barriers for an existing MRI site to achieve simultaneous PET/MRI as it avoids the expensive integration and installation of both PET and MRI subsystems. Several PET insert systems for PET/MRI have been developed for proof-of-concept [25], [26], imaging small animals [27]–[36], and human brains [37]–[40]. A separate bird-cage radio-frequency (RF) transceiver coil is often placed inside the PET ring to generate and receive RF fields as the Faraday cages used in these PET insert systems block the RF fields from the MRI system. This configuration results in less uniform RF transmit fields compared to using the built-in body coil as the RF transmitter [41].

In our previous work, we have reported the performance with just two PET detector modules, including details of the components used [44], and a compressed sensing multiplexing readout scheme for the detectors [45]. With the full-ring (16 detector modules) system completed, we have studied the impact of the PET insert on the MR performance [46]. We have also successfully acquired simultaneous PET and MRI images by using the body coil as both RF transmitter and receiver, demonstrating the “RF-penetrability” of the full-ring PET insert system (the RF fields had to enter inside and exit out of the PET ring) [47]. In this paper, we present, for the first time, an evaluation of important system performance parameters for the full 16 detector ring insert system.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. RF-Penetrable PET Insert

In brief, the prototype PET insert comprises 16 PET detector modules arranged in a 32-cm inner diameter ring with 1-mm intermodular gaps [Fig. 1(a)] to allow the RF fields to leak in uniformly. Each detector module is encased in a copper Faraday cage with 1/2 oz (≈ 17-µm thick) copper plating [Fig. 1(b)] and comprises a 2×4 tiling configuration of arrays of 4×4 lutetium-yttrium-orthosilicate (LYSO) scintillation crystal elements (3.2 × 3.2 × 20 mm³) one-to-one coupled to early generation silicon photomultiplier (SiPM) photodetector arrays [Fig. 1(c), ArraySM-4 manufactured in 2008 by SensL, Cork, Ireland]. The axial field-of-view (FoV) of the prototype PET insert is 2.8 cm. The signals of the 128 SiPM pixels in each of the 16 PET detector modules are multiplexed down to 16 output channels using a framework that makes PET/MRI a preferred modality for pediatric patients [10] and those requiring repeat studies. Third, unlike PET/CT, in which the two image sets are acquired sequentially, PET/MRI can be acquired simultaneously, allowing optimal temporal and spatial co-registration of the two data sets and correction of the patient motion observed in PET images based on time-resolved MRI images [11], which can improve the image quality, especially for cardiac imaging [12].

The common clinical practice of head MRI is to use MRI built-in body coil as the RF transmitter and a local receive-only multichannel head coil as the RF receiver to achieve better sensitivity, and hence, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the reconstructed MR images [42]. A multichannel head coil also enables parallel imaging techniques to accelerate image acquisition [43]. To achieve this coil configuration (body coil transmit and head coil receive) with the insert approach for PET/MRI, the installed PET insert system has to be RF-penetrable to allow the RF fields from the body coil to penetrate through the PET insert and reach the subject. Our group has been working on such an RF-penetrable brain-sized PET insert system.

In our previous work, we have reported the performance with just two PET detector modules, including details of the components used [44], and a compressed sensing multiplexing readout scheme for the detectors [45]. With the full-ring (16 detector modules) system completed, we have studied the impact of the PET insert on the MR performance [46]. We have also successfully acquired simultaneous PET and MRI images by using the body coil as both RF transmitter and receiver, demonstrating the “RF-penetrability” of the full-ring PET insert system (the RF fields had to enter inside and exit out of the PET ring) [47]. In this paper, we present, for the first time, an evaluation of important system performance parameters for the full 16 detector ring insert system.

Fig. 1. (a) RF-penetrable PET insert. (b) PET detector Faraday cage with optical fibers exiting the end. Inside the cage is the (c) electro-optical PET detector module comprising 128 LYSO scintillation crystal elements covering 2.8-cm axial FoV each coupled one-to-one to SiPM pixels multiplexed to 16 optical signal transmission channels. (d) Optical fiber launchers each containing two nonmagnetic VCSELs.
based on compressed sensing [45], [48], [49]. To electrically decouple the PET system from the MR system, the resulting electrical signals from the SiPM are converted into near infrared analog optical signals using nonmagnetic vertical cavity surface-emitting lasers (VCSELs) [Fig. 1(d)] [50]. The 256 (16 × 16) analog optical signals are transmitted from inside the MRI bore through 20-m length optical fibers to the data acquisition system residing in the adjacent control room [51].

In our previous study of just two modules [44], we have seen a loss of counts in the PET detectors due to a small SiPM bias voltage droop during MRI operation when the RF pulses were applied. A new 16-channel SiPM bias voltage regulator module has since been developed to address this issue (Fig. 2). The design of the regulator module is based on a linear regulator (LT3013B, Linear Technology) with multiple stages of low-pass filter to reduce potential RF interference. The bias voltage to each of the 16 PET detector modules can be adjusted individually to optimize PET performance. The 16 outputs of the voltage regulator module are arranged in a circular pattern [see Fig. 2(a)] next to the PET ring matching the arrangement of the PET detector modules so that short (25 cm) cables can be used for connection [Fig. 2(c)] without crossing each other. This circular design further reduces potential sources of RF interference as long cables can act as antennas or form loops when crossed, which can pick up RF noise. The voltage regulator is also encased in a copper Faraday cage fabricated with a similar method as used for the PET detector modules [Fig. 2(b)].

A custom eight-channel receive-only phased array coil has been developed to be placed inside the PET detector ring for better MRI sensitivity (Fig. 3). More details about the construction of the coil and the comparison of its performance to a custom bird-cage transceiver coil, which is used in most other PET inserts, can be found in our previous work [52].

B. PET Performance Evaluation

1) Energy and Coincidence Timing Resolution: Coincidences were acquired with a 400 µCi 68Ge point source placed at the iso-center of the PET insert system to determine the energy and CTR. To correct for the difference in light output of the scintillators and gain of SiPMs, the photopeak in the energy spectrum acquired by each scintillator crystal and SiPM pixel was first normalized by multiplying a scale factor to each of the energy spectra from 2048 pixels in the system to align the photopeak position. The normalized energy spectra from 2048 pixels were then summed to plot the global energy spectrum for the system. The saturation effect of the SiPM was not corrected in this paper. Energy resolution of the system was reported as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian curve fitted to the 511-keV photopeak in the global energy spectrum. To obtain the CTR, an energy window of 410–610 keV (approximately 2 × FWHM energy resolution centered at 511 keV) was applied to the acquired events before plotting the global coincidence timing spectrum. The CTR was reported as the FWHM of the Gaussian curve fitted to the energy-gated global coincidence timing spectrum.

2) Coincidence Count Rate: Total coincidence count rate performance of the system was evaluated by acquiring a cylinder source (1-mL 18F-FDG solution in a 5-mL syringe) placed at the isocenter of the system. Random and scatter corrections were not applied in this experiment. The acquisition started with an initial activity of ≈7 mCi, which saturated the system, and continued until the activity decayed to background level. A coincidence window of 40 ns was implemented in the data acquisition system firmware. No energy-gating was applied beside the triggering threshold.

3) System Performance Stability: The stability of the PET system was evaluated by measuring energy resolution, CTR, 511-keV photopeak position, and coincidence counts within the photopeak every minute over 2 h with the experimental setup described in the previous section, and the same analysis method was used to determine the energy resolution and CTR. The PET system was powered and warmed up for 1.5 h to reach thermal equilibrium with the ambient environment before the experiment.

4) Tomographic Imaging: Two phantoms were used to evaluate the image quality acquired by the PET insert system. The images were acquired with the phased array head coil inside the PET ring.

a) Spatial resolution phantom: A custom 3-D-printed 6.5-cm diameter resolution phantom [Fig. 4(left)] was fabricated from plastic (3-D Systems VisiJet M3 clear) [53]. The phantom was printed with hot rods of four different sizes (2.8-, 3.2-, 4.2-, and 5.2-mm diameter) in a cold background, as well as 4.2-mm cold rods in a hot background. A normalization phantom was also fabricated, consisting of a uniform hot cylinder with the same outer dimensions as the resolution phantom [Fig. 4(right)]. The similar linear attenuation coefficients of water and plastic at 511 keV enable normalization scans of this phantom to also be used for attenuation correction. The resolution phantom was filled with ≈ 300 µCi (≈ 11.9-µCi/mL activity concentration). The normalization phantom was filled with ≈ 270 µCi (≈ 2.3-µCi/mL activity concentration). Approximately 33 and 36 million prompt coincidences were acquired and used in image reconstruction for resolution phantom and normalization phantom, respectively.

PET resolution phantom images were reconstructed using 3-D list-mode ordered subset expectation maximization...
implemented on a graphics processing unit [54]. Reconstruction was run with ten subsets for 15 iterations, using \(1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0\) mm voxels. An energy window of \(410-610\) keV and a coincidence time window of 10 ns were used. No scatter and random corrections were applied to this data set.

b) 3-D Hoffman brain phantom: A 3-D Hoffman brain phantom was acquired to evaluate the capability of the PET insert system to image a complex tracer distribution [55]. The Hoffman brain phantom was filled with \(1.57\) mCi (\(1.3\) μCi/mL activity concentration). Approximately 62 million prompt coincidences were acquired and used in image reconstruction. A normalization scan was acquired by imaging a uniform cylinder phantom with an inner diameter of 20.4 cm and a height of \(3\) cm, filled with \(3.3\) mCi (\(3.3\) μCi/mL activity concentration), for 2 h. Hoffman brain phantom images were reconstructed with five iterations and ten subsets, using \(1.0 \times 1.0 \times 2.0\) mm voxels. The same energy and time window were used as described in the previous section. Random coincidences correction was performed by subtracting the counts acquired in the delayed coincidence window [56]. No scatter correction was applied to this data set.

5) PET System Performance Under Simultaneous MR Operation: PET performance was evaluated inside a 3-Tesla MRI system (GE Healthcare Discovery MR750) under simultaneous MR operation (Fig. 5). Three common clinical MR pulse sequences were used: 1) gradient echo (GRE); 2) fast spin echo (FSE); and 3) EPI. Table I summarizes the parameters of the three MR pulse sequences.

The analog optical signal output of a VCSEL in the PET detector module [Fig. 1(d)] was captured by a digital storage oscilloscope (Agilent DSO90254A) triggered by the MR RF pulses to directly observe the impact of RF pulses on the PET output waveforms.

Using a similar point-source experimental setup and the same analysis method as described in Section II-B3, energy resolution, CTR, 511-keV photopeak position, and coincidence counts within the photopeak were measured every minute for 10 minutes under continuous operation of different MR pulse sequences.
TABLE I
PARAMETERS OF THE MRI PULSE SEQUENCES USED IN THIS PAPER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>TR (ms)</th>
<th>TE (ms)</th>
<th>Voxel size (mm³)</th>
<th>Matrix size</th>
<th>Readout bandwidth (Hz/Px)</th>
<th>Flip angle (degree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.86 x 0.86 x 4.00</td>
<td>256 x 256 x 5</td>
<td>244.141</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSE</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.86 x 0.86 x 4.00</td>
<td>256 x 256 x 5</td>
<td>122.109</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.44 x 3.44 x 4.00</td>
<td>64 x 64 x 5</td>
<td>7812.5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF THE STABILITY MEASUREMENTS ACQUIRED OUTSIDE AND INSIDE MRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR condition</th>
<th>CTR (ns)</th>
<th>Max.–Min. (ns)</th>
<th>Photopeak position</th>
<th>Energy resolution</th>
<th>Photopeak count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outside MRI</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2.36M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0 only</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.35M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>2.38M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSE</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>2.36M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>2.23M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Global energy (left) and coincidence timing spectra (right) acquired by the RF-penetrable PET insert system.

III. RESULTS

A. Energy and Coincidence Timing Resolution

Fig. 6 shows the global energy and coincidence timing spectra acquired by the 256-channel RF-penetrable PET insert system. The energy resolution at 511 keV and CTR of the system are 16.2 ± 0.1% and 5.3 ± 0.1 ns FWHM, respectively. The errors reported in both spectra correspond to the standard deviation over five separate measurements.

B. Coincidence Count Rate

Fig. 7 shows the measured coincidence count rate performance of the PET insert and a linear fit to the low-count region. The theoretical coincidence count rate is derived by fitting the linear region of the coincidence count rate measured at low activity, resulting in an estimated coincidence sensitivity of 1.7% for this prototype PET system. The measured coincidence count rate started to deviate from the linear theoretical coincidence count rate at 2.2 mCi or 2.76 million counts per second (Mcps) and approached a peak value of 4 Mcps.

Without the voltage regulator module, the SiPM bias power supply drooped during the period when RF pulses were applied, which lowered the gain of the SiPM devices [44]. This issue was resolved after implementing the voltage regulator module [Fig. 8(b)–(d)].

Fig. 8(left) shows the CTR, photopake position, energy resolution, and coincidence counts within the photopake window measured every minute for 2 h outside the MRI system. Fig. 8(right) shows the same performance metrics acquired under continuous application of three different MR sequences, each for 10 min. Table II summarizes the mean and maximum difference of each performance metric over the measurement period acquired outside and inside MRI under the different RF pulse sequences, each for 10 min.

C. System Performance Stability

Fig. 8 shows the waveforms of the output signal from a VCSEL in the PET detector module during the MR RF pulse sequences before Fig. 8(a) and after Fig. 8(b)–(d) implementing the new SiPM bias voltage regulator module (Fig. 2).
0.05M, respectively, showing that the performance of the PET insert system was stable during the 2-h measurement. The performance of the PET insert was stable during the 10-min periods the FSE and GRE sequences were applied and was comparable to the performance measured without applying any RF pulses (B0 only). However, by the end of the 10-min EPI sequence, the CTR increased from 5.1 to 5.8 ns, the normalized photopeak position shifted toward a lower value by 15%, the energy resolution at 511 keV increased from 16.2% to 30.0%, and the photopeak count decreased from 2.38M to 2.07M (−13%).

D. Tomographic Imaging

1) Spatial Resolution Phantom: Fig. 10 shows the dimensions and the reconstructed images of the custom 3-D-printed resolution phantom. All 3.2-mm diameter hot rods and most of the 2.8-mm diameter hot rods can be resolved.

2) 3-D Hoffman Brain Phantom: Fig. 11 shows a preliminary reconstructed image of the 3-D Hoffman brain phantom, demonstrating the capability of the PET insert to image a complex tracer distribution mimicking that seen in the human brain. At the desired 1×1×2 mm³ image resolution, the image quality is limited by low statistics (62 million prompt coincidences), owing to the low photon sensitivity for the 2.8 cm PET axial FoV.

IV. DISCUSSION

Compared to the results acquired with two detector modules [45], the energy resolution (16.2 ± 0.1%) and CTR 5.3 ± 0.1 ns) of the full ring 16 detector module system degraded by 0.8% (in absolute percentage) and 0.8 ns, respectively. This degradation is expected as the system scales up due to larger variation in performance (e.g., SiPM gain) across a larger number of electronic components. Additional timing jitter can also be added to the system as additional electronic components are required to synchronize multiple detector modules. Factors limiting the CTR have been studied in our previous work [45].

The coincidence count rate of the PET system starts to show saturation at 2.76 Meps resulting from a 2.2-mCi cylinder source with negligible tissue absorption placed at the isocenter of the system. This result indicates that the coincidence count rate performance of the PET system is sufficient for
Fig. 9. CTR, normalized 511-keV photopeak position, energy resolution, and photopeak counts of the RF-penetrable PET insert system measured over a period of 2 h outside the MRI system (left) and inside the MRI system (right). For the measurement performed inside the MRI system, typical MRI pulse sequences were applied, each continuously for 10 min (FSE: fast spin echo; GRE: gradient echo; and EPI: echo planar imaging).

Fig. 10. Dimensions and reconstructed images of the custom 3-D-printed resolution phantom (unit: mm).

Fig. 11. Reconstructed image of 3-D Hoffman brain phantom.

typical PET brain scans, which typically have lower than 2-mCi activity distributed in the brain in addition to more photon attenuation than the syringe phantom used in this paper [57], [58]. The coincidence count rate of the PET system approached a peak value of 4 Mcps, which is mainly limited by the 1-Gb Ethernet between the data acquisition system and the workstation (4 Mcps × 240 bits per event = 0.96 Gb/s). If required, the count rate performance of the PET insert system can be easily improved by upgrading the Ethernet protocol to, for example, 10-Gb Ethernet.

The voltage regulator module was not properly shielded in our previous work [44], causing the bias voltage to the SiPMs to drop when MR RF pulses were applied [Fig. 8(a)]. As a result, the coincidence count rate dropped by 3.9% and 11.4% during the GRE and FSE pulse sequences, respectively, as the drop in SiPM bias voltage causes some of the original photopeak events to occur outside the energy window. The new voltage regulator with multiple stages of low-pass filter placed inside a Faraday cage (Fig. 2) remedied this issue [Fig. 8(b)–(d)]. The fact that there is no change to the analog PET detector pulses or noise floor with RF pulses demonstrated using actual oscilloscope waveforms is perhaps the strongest evidence to support any claim that PET detectors are free of interference from the MR system in a PET/MR system. No drop of coincidence count rate was observed during the GRE and FSE sequences after implementing the new voltage regulator module [Fig. 9(right)].

The energy resolution, CTR, and photopeak count rate remain stable under continuous application of FSE and GRE sequences for 10 min and are comparable to those measured without RF pulses [Fig. 9(right)]. The difference between the maximum and minimum values of photopeak position and energy resolution are larger when FSE and GRE sequences are running than that measured without RF pulses running, which can be due to the slight temperature increase of the detectors due to pulse sequence-induced eddy current. The slightly better CTR acquired (4.9 ns versus 5.3 ns) and higher normalized photopeak in the MRI than outside MRI are due to lower ambient temperature of the magnet room. This demonstrates that sufficient shielding effectiveness of the Faraday cages and modifications to the power cables and the voltage regulators prevent PET detectors from picking up RF interference from the MRI. The impact of operating PET electronics on the MRI performance has been studied and reported in our previous work [46].
However, the energy resolution and CTR degraded gradually during the 10-min EPI sequence. A downward shift of photopeak was also observed as the scan progressed. As a result, it causes the loss of photopeak counts as some events shifted out of the energy window. This degradation is mainly due to the eddy currents induced in the Faraday cages when the gradient-intensive EPI sequence was applied, which heats up the detector module and the SiPMs, causing the gain of the SiPMs to drop and the dark counts to increase. A new Faraday cage design made with phosphor bronze mesh is expected to reduce eddy current generation while maintaining high shielding effectiveness [59]. We are also implementing active liquid cooling of the detectors to further mitigate effects of any remaining gradient heating.

Most of the hot rods with 2.8-mm diameter can be resolved in the reconstructed resolution phantom image (Fig. 10). This result is also in par or better than that reported by Jo et al. [38], who have demonstrated the capability to resolve 3.5-mm diameter hot rods with their brain-sized PET insert for PET/MRI, which uses slightly smaller crystal elements (3.0 mm instead of 3.2 mm used in this paper). These results demonstrate the superior spatial resolution that can be achieved with a brain-dedicated system using smaller crystal elements compared to commercial integrated whole-body PET/MRI systems that achieve 4–5-mm spatial resolution [23], [60].

Main features of the 3-D Hoffman brain phantom can be seen in Fig. 11, demonstrating the capability of the PET insert to image a complex tracer distribution. However, there is some room for improvement. First, the top and bottom edges of the reconstructed Hoffman brain phantom image show unusual activity that is higher than other regions (Fig. 11). This artifact may be due to problems with using a uniform cylinder phantom for normalization and attenuation correction [61], which will likely be mitigated by using an annular source configuration instead of a cylinder [62]. Second, applying scatter correction to the data set can further improve image quality (e.g., contrast) and accuracy. A Monte Carlo-based scatter correction [63] is under development for the PET insert. Finally, the short axial FoV (2.8 cm) and low sensitivity of the system limit the photon statistics, and hence the SNR of the reconstructed image. This can be improved by extending the axial FoV and improving the timing resolution to enable time-of-flight measurement to increase effective sensitivity [64]. Based on our previous work [45], the timing resolution of the system can be improved by using state-of-the-art SiPM technology with higher photodetection efficiency, reading out the SiPM without multiplexing to preserve the SNR, and increasing the bandwidth of the readout circuits. The impact of MRI measurement on PET images has been studied in our previous work [47].

V. CONCLUSION

We have developed and evaluated the performance of an RF field-penetrable PET insert for simultaneous PET/MRI. The PET insert studied in this paper comprises a full ring of 16 detector modules employing electro-optical coupled signal transmission and a multiplexing framework based on compressed sensing. A receive-only phased array head coil has been developed and integrated with the PET insert. The system energy resolution at 511 keV and CTR acquired by the PET insert are 16.2 ± 0.1% and 5.3 ± 0.1 ns, respectively. The coincidence count rate capability of the PET insert, which starts to show saturation at 2.76 Mcps, is sufficient for typical brain PET scans. A voltage regulator module mitigated the RF interference and photopeak count loss during MR RF pulses. The performance of the PET insert is stable both outside and inside the MR system during simultaneous PET and MR operation except when the EPI sequence was applied, where eddy currents induced in the Faraday cages heated up the detector modules, degrading the PET performance. The PET insert can resolve 2.8-mm diameter hot rods. Main features of a 3-D Hoffman brain phantom can be resolved by the PET insert, demonstrating its capability to image a complex tracer distribution mimicking that seen in the human brain. Simultaneous PET/MR imaging studies with the MR images acquired by using the body coil as the RF transmitter and the head coil as the receiver are the subject of this paper and future work.
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