The effects of a ration change from a total mixed ration to pasture on rumen fermentation, volatile fatty acid absorption characteristics, and morphology of dairy cows
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ABSTRACT

To investigate the effect of the change from a concentrate and silage-based ration (total mixed ration, TMR) to a pasture-based ration, a 10-wk trial (wk 1–10) was performed, including 10 rumen- and duodenum-fistulated German Holstein dairy cows (182 ± 24 d in milk, 23.5 ± 3.5 kg of milk/d; mean ± standard deviation). The cows were divided in either a pasture group (PG, n = 5) or a confinement group (CG, n = 5). The CG stayed on a TMR-based ration (35% corn silage, 35% grass silage, 30% concentrate; dry matter basis), whereas the PG was gradually transitioned from a TMR to a pasture-based ration (wk 1: TMR only; wk 2: 3 h/d on pasture wk 3 and 4: 12 h/d on pasture wk 5–10: pasture only). Ruminal pH, volatile fatty acids (VFA), NH₃-N, and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) concentrations were measured in rumen fluid samples collected medially and ventrally on a weekly basis. Ruminal pH was continuously recorded during 1 to 4 consecutive days each week using ruminal pH measuring devices. In wk 1, 5, and 10, rumen contents were evacuated and weighed, papillae were collected from 3 locations in the rumen, and subsequently a VFA absorption test was performed. In the PG, mean rumen pH and molar acetate proportions decreased, and molar butyrate proportions increased continuously over the course of the trial, which can most likely be ascribed to an increased intake of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates. During the first weeks on a full grazing ration (wk 5–7), variation of rumen pH decreased, and in wk 5 a lower rumen content, papillae surface area, and potential for VFA absorption were observed. In wk 8 to 10, variation of rumen pH and total VFA concentrations increased again, and acetate/propionate ratio decreased. In wk-10 rumen content, papillae area and VFA absorption characteristics similar to initial levels were observed. Although continuous rumen pH assessments and LPS concentrations did not reveal an increased risk for subacute rumen acidosis (SARA) during the adaption period, histopathology of rumen papillae and potential for VFA absorption indicated a possible risk for rumen health. An increased risk for SARA was observed in wk 9 and 10 in the PG, but rumen LPS concentrations and histopathology were not adversely affected. Results of the present study suggest that after behavioral and metabolic adaptation to the transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration, no adverse effects on rumen morphology and absorption capacity occurred, although rumen pH after adaptation to pasture indicated increased risk of SARA.
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INTRODUCTION

Upon transition from a silage and concentrate- to a pasture-based diet, dairy cattle and their rumen microbiota need to adapt to this new nutritional situation (de Menezes et al., 2011; Nakano et al., 2013). Dry matter intake is generally lower in pasture-based systems due to physical constraints, and energy expenditure is higher due to grazing and walking activity (Osuji, 1974; Kolver, 2003). The ration composition differs considerably between the 2 systems (Kolver, 2003) with the pasture-based ration generally characterized by a higher CP and water-soluble carbohydrate (WSC) content and lower starch content (Kolver and de Veth, 2002; Kolver, 2003). Additionally, protein and energy...
availability in pasture-based rations are subjected to seasonal, weekly, and even daily variations caused by changes in plant maturity and weather, as well as management decisions (Parker and Edwards, 1996; Mayne et al., 2000; Smit et al., 2004).

Few studies have investigated the difference in rumen fermentation patterns comparing TMR and pasture-fed dairy cows. Generally higher rumen ammonia concentrations were observed when a pasture-based ration was fed, but results are inconclusive regarding ruminal pH and VFA concentrations (Holden et al., 1994; Bargo et al., 2002a,b). Rumen digesta stratification and intraruminal differences in pH and VFA concentration are influenced by feed fiber content and particle length (Storm and Kristensen, 2010). Diets with a higher fiber content and longer particle length promote ruminal stratification (Tafaj et al., 2004; Storm and Kristensen, 2010). Storm and Kristensen (2010) hypothesized that feeding a low fiber diet could result in a more homogenous ruminal content and thereby increasing ventral VFA concentrations and increasing the risk of ruminal acidosis. Because high-quality pastures are often low in physical effective fiber and high in concentrations of WSC, pasture-based rations may adversely affect rumen fermentation and pH (Kolver and de Veth, 2002; O’Grady et al., 2008). Bramley et al. (2008) and O’Grady et al. (2008) showed that approximately 10% of cows in pasture-based systems could be classified as being affected by SARA. Most research investigating the relationship of a low ruminal pH and adverse effects on health and production has been conducted in confinement TMR-based systems (Plaizier et al., 2008), and it is unclear if the developed cut-off values for SARA can be translated onto pasture-based systems. Kolver and de Veth (2002) suggested that a low ruminal pH arising from high fermentable OM (fOM) intake and low physical effective fiber does not necessarily compromise cow performance on pasture. This is further supported by several recent studies showing that the consequences of SARA are possibly substrate dependent (Khafipour et al., 2009a,b; Calsamiglia et al., 2012).

Ruminal pH and fermentation patterns are just one aspect of different rumen characteristics that are possibly influenced by a ration change. Also, rumen papillae morphology and absorption capacity (Bannink et al., 2012; Martens et al., 2012; Dieho et al., 2016), histology (Steele et al., 2011; Bannink et al., 2012), and gene expression (Connor et al., 2010; Penner et al., 2011; Steele et al., 2012) are altered under the influence of different ration types and during SARA. For example, slowly increasing the concentrate intake leads to an increase in the size of the papillae and the number of epithelial cells (Dirksen et al., 1984; Liebich et al., 1987). In food-deprived animals, a decrease in fermentable substrate leads to a decrease in absorptive capacity of the rumen wall (Gäbel et al., 1993).

Generally, the transition from one ration type to another causes changes in the rumen microbiota (Russell and Rychlik, 2001) and rumen stratification (Storm and Kristensen, 2010), which leads to alterations in fermentation patterns (Van Houtert, 1993) and to physiological and structural adaptations of the rumen epithelium (Gäbel et al., 2002). Up to now, not much research has focused on the effect of a transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration on rumen fermentation, VFA absorption capacity, and morphology as well as the length required for adaptation. Because these 2 systems do not only differ substantially in ration composition, but also in the way feed is acquired, we hypothesize that the change from a confinement TMR to a pasture-based system involves complex physiological and structural adaptations of the rumen. We suggest that a pasture-based ration in a continuous grazing system with a relatively short herbage height could lead to smaller intraruminal differences with regard to stratification and fermentation due to its possible lower fiber content and particle length. Further, a high content of fast fermentable carbohydrates and low amount of physical effective fiber could increase the risk for SARA and have adverse effects on rumen epithelium. The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate the influence of the transition from a TMR to a pasture-based diet on several rumen variables including the total rumen content and rumen fermentation characteristics (pH, VFA, NH₄-N, and LPS concentrations), and on VFA absorption as well as on morphological variables including papillae surface area and histopathological parameters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Experimental work was conducted at the experimental station of the Friedrich Loeffler Institute in Brunswick, Germany. The experiment was carried out in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act approved by the Lower Saxony State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Germany.

**Experimental Design and Treatments**

The experimental design, treatments, rations, climate data, animal performance, urine variables, clinical chemistry, and total blood counts were reported in Schären et al. (2016). In brief, the full trial included 60 German Holstein cows (166 ± 23 DIM and 23.5 ± 3.7 kg of milk/d; parity: 1.9 ± 1.6; mean ± SD; at the beginning of the trial) that were randomly assigned to either a pasture group (PG; n = 29) or a confinement group (CG; n = 31).
Rumen pH and Fluid Composition

Rumen fluid samples were collected once per week after morning milking. To prevent substantial grazing activity before sampling cows of the PG were rounded up for milking just before sunrise (Taweel et al., 2004; Abrahamse et al., 2009). To collect rumen fluid from the medial site, the rumen mat content from the first 10 cm below the aperture of the rumen fistula was collected and pressed through a cheesecloth. Rumen fluid from the ventral site of the rumen was collected using a manual pump. Immediately after collection, pH was measured using a glass electrode (model: pH 525; WTW, Weilheim, Germany) and samples were cooled to 4°C until further processing approximately 1 to 2 h after sample collection. Volatile fatty acids were determined as described in Geissler et al. (1976), and NH₃-N was determined using steam distillation according to the Kjeldahl method [DIN38406-E5–2, Anonymous (1998)]. For determination of LPS concentration, rumen fluid samples were centrifuged at 10,000 × g at 6°C in pyrogen-free tubes for 30 min. Thereafter, supernatants were passed through a 0.22-
µm filter, heated for 30 min at 100°C, and stored at −20°C pending further analysis. Prior to analysis, supernatants were diluted with endotoxin-free water at approximately 1:32,000 vol/vol. Lipopolysaccharide concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically at 405 nm using the Limulus amoebocyte lysate assay (Kinetic-QCL, Lonza, Walkersville, MD; following the manufacturer’s instructions) and a microplate reader with incubation chamber (Infinite M200, Tecan Group Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland) and evaluated using the Magellan Data Analysis Software (Tecan Group Ltd.; Gozho et al., 2005).

Rumen content pH was continuously measured in the ventral rumen sac using a continuous ruminal pH measuring device in wk 1 to 10 in the PG and in wk 3 to 10 in the CG (Lethbridge Research Centre Ruminal pH Measurement System, Dascor, Escondido, CA; Penner et al. 2006). No continuous rumen pH data were collected in wk 1 and 2 in the CG due to technical issues at the time. Before and after each period the system was calibrated in buffer solutions (pH 4 and 7) at 39°C. Ruminal content pH was recorded every minute and measured of each cow between 1 and 4 consecutive 24-h periods each week (2.68 ± 0.99; mean ± SD). For each 24-h interval, a logistic curve was fitted (AlZahal et al., 2007) using PROC NLMIXED in SAS 9.3 (2011, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and the variables β₀ (the slope of the logistic curve at the inflection point, illustrating the variation in rumen pH over the assessed 24-h interval), β₁ (describing the inflection point of the curve, representing the average pH of the assessed 24-h period), and time pH <5.6 and pH <5.8 (min/d) were assessed as described in Colman et al. (2012). To evaluate a possible increased risk for SARA, a threshold of 314 min at pH <5.8/d and average pH lower than 6.16 was chosen (Zebeli et al., 2008). To allow a representative interpretation, the SARA risk was evaluated on the basis of least squares means on a group level and based on a scoring system on an individual basis. The score per group and week was calculated as score = |sum of (number of positive SARA observations per animal in week i/total number of observations per animal in week i)|/total number of animals assessed in week i. This approach was chosen because the amount of measurements and assessed animals differed between weeks. Animals were not exposed to SARA challenges before this trial.
**Rumen Content**

In wk 1, 5, and 10, the rumen of each cow was evacuated by hand and total rumen content was separated into fluid and solid content using a self-made sieve with a 10-mm aperture (2–3 cows per day between 0730 and 1430 h; all cows within 5 d within particular week). Samples were collected of the solid and liquid content and stored at −20°C pending analyses. For each sample, the DM content was assessed to determine the total rumen DM and non-DM quantity. Both fractions were weighed separately, combined again thereafter, and kept in insulated barrels to prevent cooling.

**Rumen Papillae Collection**

After evacuation, the rumen was washed twice with 10 L of water (39°C) and the remaining fluid was removed using an industrial vacuum cleaner. Thereafter, papillae were collected at 3 different sites in the rumen (saccus cecus caudodorsalis, saccus ventralis, and saccus cecus caudoventralis; always approximately 5 cm adjacent to the pila coronaria dorsalis or pila coronaria ventralis, respectively, at the most ventral site of the respective location) using a biopsy forceps (Lloyd-Davis biopsy forceps 35cm, Zepf Instruments, Tuttlingen, Germany). Papillae samples were immediately washed in 0.9% NaCl and stored in 4% formaldehyde. Per location, 14.6 ± 4.5 (mean ± SD) intact papillae were collected. Subsequently, the papillae were photographed and the surface area (one side) was determined using the CellProfiler (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA) software package. Thereafter, the rumen papillae were histopathologically examined for the presence of inflammation. To evaluate the samples representatively, we grouped the samples into either “absence of lesions” and “presence of lesions” for statistical analysis and graphical illustration.

**VFA Absorption Test**

Subsequent to the papillae collection, a VFA absorption test (VFA-AT) was performed as described by Dijkstra et al. (1993). A total of 36.5 ± 0.4 L of a VFA buffer solution (pH 5.0 ± 0.1; 400 mOsm/L; 39°C) was prepared based on McDougall’s buffer (Dijkstra et al., 1993), containing additionally 170 mM VFA (60% acetic, 25% propionic, 15% butyric acid) and a marker (Co-EDTA, 0.07 g/L). The rumen was washed with 5 L of the buffer solution and the remaining fluid was removed using an industrial vacuum cleaner. Thereafter, 31.5 L of the buffer solution was introduced and a buffer solution sample was collected. After 60 min of incubation, another buffer solution sample was collected and the buffer solution was completely recovered and weighed. The pH and liquid volume were measured and the samples were stored at −20°C. During the VFA-AT, the pH of the buffer solution was assessed manually every 15 min from a 100-mL sample, which was reintroduced into the rumen immediately after measuring. In wk 10, an indwelling and recording pH probe (inPro 3100/120/Pt100 combination pH electrode, Mettler Toledo, Giessen, Germany; mobile pH recording device PCE-228, PCE Deutschland GmbH, Meschede, Germany) was used to measure the buffer solution pH continuously during the incubation period in 9 animals (n = 5 CG; n = 4 PG, data of one animal were lost due to technical issues). Finally, the rumen content was reintroduced. Buffer solution VFA concentrations were determined as described in Geissler et al. (1976). Buffer solution cobalt concentrations were measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (Quantima, GBC Scientific Equipment Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia). The water inflow, fractional liquid passage rate (FLPR), and fractional absorption rates (FAR) of acetic, propionic, and butyric acids were calculated according to Dijkstra et al. (1993).

**Statistical Analysis**

If variables were recorded more than once a week, means were calculated per cow and week before statistical evaluation. To obtain a normal distribution, LPS concentrations were logarithmically transformed before statistical analysis. To analyze repeated measurements, PROC MIXED in SAS Enterprise Guide 6.1 (SAS Institute Inc.) was implemented using the following model (Littell et al., 2006):

\[
Y_{ijkl} = \mu + G_i + W_k + (G \times W)_{ik} + C_j + \varepsilon_{ijkl},
\]

and in case of multiple sampling sites the model was extended to

\[
Y_{ijkl} = \mu + G_i + W_k + S_l + (G \times S)_{il} + (G \times W)_{ik} + (W \times S)_{kl} + (G \times W \times S)_{ikl} + C_j + (W(C))_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijkl},
\]

where \(G_i\) = treatment group (i = PG, CG), \(W_k\) = sampling week (k = 1, ..., 10), \(S_l\) = sampling site (l = medial, ventral for pH, VFA, NH₃-N, LPS; l = saccus cecus caudodorsalis, saccus ventralis, saccus cecus caudoventralis for biopsies), \((G \times W)_{ik}\) = fixed interaction, \(C_j\) = cow (j = 1, ..., 10), \((W(C))_{jk}\) = random effects of sampling week within cows, and \(\varepsilon_{ijk}\) and \(\varepsilon_{ijkl}\) = error.
A REML with the cows as experimental units was used. Week, diet group, sampling site within the rumen (where applicable), and their interaction were defined as fixed factors. A REPEATED statement was included to account for individual variation of the cows. Best fitting covariance structures were tested using the Akaike information criterion for a finite sample size (AICC). For the performance data of the animals, first order autoregressive was chosen, and for all other data compound symmetry covariance structure was chosen. In case of multiple sampling sites, the site was nested within the cow and a RANDOM statement was included for cow and cow × week interaction to account for pseudo-replication. Significant effects at different points in time were further evaluated by multiple t-test (procedure PDIFF) and results are presented as least squares means with pooled standard error of means. Histopathological scores of rumen papillae were arranged in contingency tables and analyzed by using Fisher’s exact tests (PROC FREQ) in SAS Enterprise Guide 6.1. Correlation coefficients between different parameters were estimated using Statistica 12.0 (2014, StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK). Results were considered significant at P < 0.05 and a trend declared at 0.05 < P < 0.10.

RESULTS

Ration Composition and Weather Data

The chemical composition of the different rations and weather data are presented in detail in Schären et al. (2016). Briefly, the average chemical composition of the TMR of the CG and the PG was DM content: 330 ± 12 g/kg, CP: 128 ± 4 g/kg of DM, NE\textsubscript{L}: 6.8 ± 0.1 MJ/kg of DM, starch: 26 ± 3 g/kg of DM, CF: 204 ± 4 g/kg of DM, NDF\textsubscript{om}: 394 ± 9 g/kg of DM and ADF\textsubscript{om}: 226 ± 5 g/kg of DM (mean ± SD; NDF and ADF were expressed without residual ash and are therefore referred to as NDF\textsubscript{om} and ADF\textsubscript{om}). Chemical composition of the pasture was assessed weekly: DM content: 183 ± 14 g/kg, CP: 193 ± 21 g/kg of DM, sugar: 113 ± 32 g/kg of DM, starch: 216 ± 8 g/kg of DM, NDF\textsubscript{om}: 525 ± 40 g/kg of DM, and ADF\textsubscript{om}: 260 ± 16 g/kg of DM (mean ± SD). In wk 7 and 10, the highest sugar (174 and 148 g/kg, respectively) and lowest CP contents (159 and 167 g/kg, respectively) were observed. Additionally, pasture NE\textsubscript{L} contents were assessed in wk 7 (6.7 MJ/kg of DM) and wk 9 (6.6 MJ/kg of DM). The average daily temperature-humidity index (THI) averaged 57.9 ± 5.5 outdoors and indoors was generally 5.1 ± 0.8 (mean ± SD) units higher. Periods of mild heat were measured in wk 5 and between wk 7 and 8 with average daily THI between 65 and 70 outdoors and 65 and 75 indoors.

Animal Performance

For the presentation of the results the terms group, time, and location were chosen to describe the effect of diet group, week, and sampling location within the rumen, respectively. Milk production and BW changes in the fistulated cows (Table 1) were similar to those in the 60 cows described in Schären et al. (2016). For all variables, except BCS, a group × time interaction was observed. Dry matter intake from TMR decreased in the PG as soon as animals had part-time access to pasture. In the PG, DMI of pasture and concentrate differed significantly in wk 7, but not in wk 9, from that of TMR in the CG. However, this difference was significant in wk 9 in all 60 animals. Between wk 1 and 6, milk yield in PG decreased by 4.3 kg/d followed by stabilization until the end of the trial. In the CG, milk yield decreased more steadily over the course of the trial. A tendency for a higher milk yield in the CG was observed in wk 5. In the PG, milk protein content slightly decreased from wk 4 to 5 and wk 6, followed by an increase until wk 9 leading to a tendency for a higher milk protein content compared with the CG in wk 8 and 9. Within the CG, milk protein content marginally increased between wk 1 and 4. In the PG, milk fat content decreased as soon as the cows were on a full grazing ration. Milk fat content in the CG compared with PG was higher in wk 5, 9 (tendency only), and 10. Milk urea concentration in PG increased from wk 4 onward, whereas no considerable alterations were observed in the CG. Milk urea concentrations were higher in the PG in wk 4 to 10 (except for wk 7). Body weight decreased in the PG between wk 1 and 6 by 32 kg and continuously increased thereafter until wk 10 to initial status. In the CG, an initial increase of BW by 18 kg until wk 4 followed by a decrease until wk 10 to initial status was observed. A higher BW was observed in the CG compared with the PG between wk 4 to 7. The decrease of 0.8 BCS points in the PG over the course of, and 0.3 BCS points in the CG during the last weeks of the trial (in all 60 cows) was only numerically reflected in the fistulated cows (no significant group × time interaction). However, a significant group effect was found for BCS within the fistulated animals; the BCS in PG already was lower than in CG at the beginning of the trial.

Rumen pH and Fluid Composition

pH–Sensor Data. Four main variables [β₀, β₁, and time pH <5.8 and <5.6 (min/d)] describing the development of rumen pH throughout the trial in the PG and CG are illustrated in Figure 1A. For β₁ representing the average pH of the assessed 24-h period, a group
Table 1. Effect of a ration change from TMR to pasture on animal performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMI (kg/d)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>16.8d</td>
<td>17.4**</td>
<td>16.9***</td>
<td>18.3***</td>
<td>17.2e</td>
<td>17.1f</td>
<td>18.2***</td>
<td>16.7c</td>
<td>17.4**</td>
<td>15.6f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>17.3b</td>
<td>13.1b</td>
<td>9.7d</td>
<td>10.8c</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13.7b</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.2*</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk yield (kg/d)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>23.9ab</td>
<td>24.4*</td>
<td>23.1b</td>
<td>22.4b</td>
<td>22.8j</td>
<td>22.0b</td>
<td>21.9b</td>
<td>19.8e</td>
<td>19.4f</td>
<td>18.3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>23.1a</td>
<td>25.0a</td>
<td>21.6b</td>
<td>20.5c</td>
<td>19.1de</td>
<td>18.8de</td>
<td>19.3e</td>
<td>18.8f</td>
<td>20.1cd</td>
<td>19.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk protein (%)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>2.99f</td>
<td>3.02d</td>
<td>3.03e</td>
<td>3.14e</td>
<td>3.02e</td>
<td>3.05e</td>
<td>3.10e</td>
<td>3.06e</td>
<td>3.09e</td>
<td>3.15**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>3.05f</td>
<td>3.08c</td>
<td>3.09d</td>
<td>3.17f</td>
<td>2.98d</td>
<td>2.97e</td>
<td>3.05e</td>
<td>3.28f</td>
<td>3.13**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.22f</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.51j</td>
<td>4.66**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>4.13f</td>
<td>4.03e</td>
<td>4.33d</td>
<td>4.49f</td>
<td>4.31f</td>
<td>4.04e</td>
<td>3.92e</td>
<td>3.84d</td>
<td>3.52d</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk urea (ppm)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>141bc</td>
<td>169a</td>
<td>129bc</td>
<td>125**bc</td>
<td>124**bc</td>
<td>151**ab</td>
<td>141**bc</td>
<td>132**bc</td>
<td>130**bc</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>159b</td>
<td>163ab</td>
<td>164ab</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>197f</td>
<td>144f</td>
<td>373f</td>
<td>244b</td>
<td>191**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (kg)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>662ab</td>
<td>660bc</td>
<td>673**ab</td>
<td>667**bc</td>
<td>663**bc</td>
<td>665**bc</td>
<td>659**bc</td>
<td>657**bc</td>
<td>655**bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>614abc</td>
<td>604e</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>585f</td>
<td>602e</td>
<td>608b</td>
<td>616e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS (scale 1–5)</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values within ration group in a row with different superscript letters differ (P < 0.05).

Fistulated animals only; Materials and Methods described in Schären et al. (2016).

Dry matter intake: CG (wk 1–10) and PG (wk 1–4) DMI from TMR only, PG in wk 7 and 9 DMI from pasture (n-alkane method) plus concentrate (1.75 kg of DM/d); BCS was assessed at the beginning of each week in 14-d intervals and at the last day of wk 10; values presented as LSM.

CG = confinement group (n = 5); PG = pasture group (n = 5); the CG stayed on a TMR-based ration during the entire trial, whereas the PG was slowly introduced to a pasture-based ration: wk 1: TMR; wk 2: TMR and 3 h pasture/d; wk 3 and 4: TMR and 12 h pasture/d; wk 5 to 10: pasture and 1.75 kg of DM concentrate/d.

PSEM = pooled standard error of the means; G = group, T = time.

†P < 0.10; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; symbols indicate difference between groups within a particular week.
× time interaction was observed. The PG exhibited a decrease between wk 2 and 10 (from 6.2 to 6.1), whereas in the CG a continuous increase during the course of the trial was observed (from 6.1 in wk 3 until 6.3 in wk 10). In wk 10, $\beta_1$ was significantly lower in the PG compared with the CG. The variable $\beta_0$, illustrating the variation in rumen pH over the assessed 24-h interval (the greater, the more constant), exhibited a time as well as group × time effect. In the PG, an increase was observed as soon as the animals were on a full-grazing ration in wk 5. Subsequently, a decrease in wk 8 to 10 occurred. In the CG, no considerable variations were observed except for an increase in wk 5. In wk 6 and 7, $\beta_0$ was higher in PG than in the CG. No significant time or group effect nor a group × time interaction was observed for the variable time pH $\leq 5.8$ (min/d). Time pH $\leq 5.6$ (min/d) did not exhibit a significant group or group × time effect. However, a significant time effect was observed due to an increase between wk 3 and 4, subsequent decrease until wk 7, and increase again until wk 10 in both groups.

No increased risk for SARA was observed on group level at any time during the trial in both groups. On an individual basis, the average score was 0.11 ± 0.08 for the CG and 0.11 ± 0.15 for the PG in wk 3 to 10 (means ± SD; no wk 1 and 2 due to technical problems with measurements in CG at the time). The highest score for CG was 0.30 in wk 4 and lowest in wk 6 (zero). In the PG the highest scores were observed in wk 1 (0.40), 9 (0.20), and 10 (0.40) and the lowest in wk 2 and wk 6 to 8 (zero).

**pH–Manual.** For the weekly manual pH measurements, a time and location effect, as well as a group × time, group × location, time × location, and group × time × location interaction were observed (Figure 1B). The pH of rumen fluid samples collected in the ventral part of the rumen was generally 0.52 ± 0.04 higher compared with those collected in the medial part. In wk 1 and 3, a higher medial pH was observed in PG than CG, whereas during the full grazing period medial pH was higher in CG than in PG in wk 6, 8, and 9. A correlation between $\beta_1$ and manually assessed pH in the medial and ventral part of the rumen was found (medial: $r = 0.40$, $P < 0.001$; ventral: $r = 0.30$; $P = 0.004$).

**VFA Concentrations and Molar Proportions.** A group and time effect as well as a group × time interaction was observed for total VFA concentrations due to a significant increase between wk 7 to 10 in the PG from 95.2 to 100.2 mmol/L ($P < 0.05$) and concurrent decrease in the CG between the first and second half of the trial (Figure 1C; from 101.2 ± 2.4 in wk 1–4 to 91.6 ± 3.4 mmol/L in wk 5–10; mean ± SD; $P < 0.05$). A significant difference between groups was present in wk 3 (CG higher than PG), and wk 6, 8, 9, and 10 (PG higher than CG). Medial and ventral total VFA concentrations correlated with corresponding manually assessed medial and ventral pH (medial: $r = -0.78$, $P < 0.001$; ventral: $r = -0.65$, $P < 0.001$) as well as $\beta_1$ (medial: $r = -0.60$, $P = 0.009$; ventral: $r = -0.41$; $P = 0.094$).

The acetate/propanoate (C2/C3) ratio and the molar proportions of VFA are illustrated in Table 2. The C2/C3 ratio decreased in the PG between wk 1 and 3 (from 3.90 to 3.23; $P < 0.001$), increased thereafter until wk 6 (to 3.54; $P = 0.082$), followed by a decrease until wk 9 (to 3.03; $P = 0.005$). In the CG, a slight increase was observed over the course of the trial (from 3.21 in wk 2 to 3.58 in wk 9; $P = 0.037$), contributing to a significant group × time effect. A difference between groups was observed in wk 1 and 9 (wk 1 higher in the PG, wk 10 higher in the CG).

Molar acetate proportions (C2%) exhibited a time and location effect as well as a group × time and group × time × location interaction. In the CG, at both locations an initial increase in the first half of the trial (until wk 6), followed by a decrease at the ventral location in the second half was observed (no alterations at medial site). Medial C2% were higher compared with ventral from wk 4 on in the CG [tendency for difference in wk 4 ($P = 0.062$), significant differences in wk 6 to 10 ($P < 0.05$)]. In the PG, C2% decreased continuously over the course of the trial.

Molar propionate proportions (C3%) only exhibited a location effect due to generally higher C3% at the ventral compared with the medial sampling site (20.0 vs. 19.7%; $P = 0.012$).

Molar butyrate proportions (C4%) continuously increased in both groups over the course of the trial (from 12.5 to 13.7% in the CG and from 11.3 to 13.5% in the PG between wk 1 and 10, $P < 0.05$), except for a decrease and subsequent increase in wk 6 and 9 in the CG, leading to a group × time interaction. A difference between groups was observed in wk 1 and 3 (CG higher), and wk 9 (PG higher). Medial C4% were generally lower compared with ventral C4% (12.3 vs. 13.0%; $P < 0.001$).

Molar isovalerate proportions (iC5%) exhibited a group and time effect as well as a group × time interaction. In the CG, iC5% decreased over the course of the trial (from 1.8% in wk 1 to 1.1% in wk 10, $P < 0.001$). In the PG, an initial decrease until wk 7 (from 1.4% in wk 1 to 0.5%, $P < 0.001$) and subsequent increase until wk 10 (0.8%; $P = 0.016$) was observed. The CG exhibited higher iC5% than the PG between wk 3 to 9.

Ventral molar valerate proportions (C5%) were not altered significantly over the course of the trial (0.40%), whereas medial C5% exhibited a decrease until wk 6 (from 0.50 to 0.22%, $P = 0.002$), followed by a subse-
Figure 1. Effect of ration change from TMR to pasture on rumen fermentation variables measured weekly during the trial. ■ = confinement group (CG); ● = pasture group (PG). (A) pH-sensor. Light dashed line = $\beta_0$, the slope of the logistic curve at the inflection point, illustrating the variation in rumen pH over the assessed 24-h interval [the greater, the more constant; pooled SEM (PSEM) = 0.7]; long dashed line = $\beta_1$, inflection point of the logistic curve, representing the average pH of the assessed 24-h period (PSEM = 0.07); solid line = time pH <5.8 (min/d; PSEM = 75); short dashed line = time pH <5.6 (min/d; PSEM = 22). Significance: $\beta_0$: group (G); $P$ = 0.242, time (T): $P$ < 0.001, G×T: $P$ = 0.027; group: $P$ = 0.537, time: $P$ < 0.634, G×T: $P$ = 0.008; time pH <5.8: group: $P$ = 0.760, time: $P$ = 0.012, G×T: $P$ = 0.595. Logger data in wk 1 and 2 in CG are missing due to technical issues at the time. (B) pH-manual. Dashed line = ventral part of the rumen; solid line = medial part of the rumen (PSEM = 0.12). Significance: group: 0.818, time: $P$ < 0.001, location (L): $P$ < 0.001; G×L: $P$ = 0.037; T×L: $P$ = 0.010, G×T×L: $P$ = 0.030. (C) Total VFA concentration. Dashed line = ventral part of the rumen; solid line = medial part of the rumen (PSEM = 5). Significance: group: $P$ = 0.002, time: $P$ < 0.001, location: $P$ = 0.474, G×T: $P$ < 0.001; G×L: $P$ = 0.147; T×L: $P$ = 0.050, G×T×L: $P$ = 0.156. (D) NH₃-N concentration. Dashed line = ventral; solid line = medial (PSEM = 1.9). Significance: group: $P$ = 0.272, time: $P$ = 0.003, location: $P$ = 0.303, G×T: $P$ < 0.001; G×L: $P$ = 0.124; T×L: $P$ = 0.011, G×T×L: $P$ = 0.002. (E) LPS concentration. Dashed line = ventral; solid line = medial (PSEM = 0.14). Significance: group: $P$ = 0.700, time: $P$ < 0.001, location: $P$ < 0.001, G×T: $P$ = 0.062; G×L: $P$ = 0.973; T×L: $P$ = 0.722, G×T×L: $P$ = 0.414. LSM: n = 5. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups in a particular week (within a particular location if applicable; †$P$ < 0.10; *$P$ < 0.05; **$P$ < 0.01). Letters indicate significant differences between weeks (within a particular group and location if applicable; †$P$ < 0.05). The CG stayed on a TMR-based ration during the entire trial, whereas the PG was slowly introduced to a pasture-based ration: wk 1: TMR, wk 2: TMR and 3 h pasture/d, wk 3 and 4: TMR and 12 h pasture/d, wk 5 to 10: pasture and 1.75 kg of DM concentrate/d.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2/C3</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>3.39*</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.66*</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2/C3</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>65.6**</td>
<td>65.1**</td>
<td>65.1**</td>
<td>65.6**</td>
<td>66.0**</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>66.8**</td>
<td>65.8bc</td>
<td>67.3**</td>
<td>66.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>69.3a</td>
<td>66.9bc</td>
<td>66.9bc</td>
<td>67.5c</td>
<td>67.3d</td>
<td>68.2b</td>
<td>65.7bc</td>
<td>65.8bc</td>
<td>62.9f</td>
<td>64.6f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>65.7***</td>
<td>64.5d</td>
<td>64.5c</td>
<td>64.3d</td>
<td>65.1c</td>
<td>66.4c</td>
<td>65.3c</td>
<td>64.3d</td>
<td>66.3**</td>
<td>63.0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>68.9a</td>
<td>66.2bc</td>
<td>66.4bc</td>
<td>65.7bc</td>
<td>66.5bc</td>
<td>67.2bc</td>
<td>65.6d</td>
<td>66.0bc</td>
<td>63.8d</td>
<td>65.2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>12.2*</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.3*</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.1**</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iC5%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.03**</td>
<td>1.59**</td>
<td>1.33*</td>
<td>1.28**</td>
<td>1.40**</td>
<td>1.34**</td>
<td>1.38*</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iC5%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5%</td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Values within ration group and site in a row with different superscript letters differ (\*P < 0.05).

1 Molar proportions in % of total VFA; C2 = acetic acid; C3 = propionic acid; C4 = butyric acid; iC5 = iso-valeric acid; C5 = valeric acid.

2 CG = confinement group; PG = pasture group; the CG stayed on a TMR-based ration during the entire trial, whereas the PG was slowly introduced to a pasture-based ration: wk 1: TMR; wk 2: TMR and 3 h pasture/d; wk 3 and 4: TMR and 12 h pasture/d; wk 5 to 10: pasture and 1.75 kg of DM concentrate/d.

3 PSEM = pooled standard error of the means; G = group, T = time; L = location.

† P < 0.10; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; symbols indicate difference between groups in particular week (within particular location if applicable).
quent increase until wk 9 (0.70%, \(P < 0.001\)), causing a
time and time \(\times\) location effect.

**NH\(_3\)-N Concentrations.** The NH\(_3\)-N concentrations were influenced by a time effect and group \(\times\) time,
time \(\times\) location, and group \(\times\) time \(\times\) location
interactions (Figure 1D). No relevant changes were
observed in the CG. In the PG, a 2- to 4-fold increase
was observed in wk 4 and 9 medially as well as ven-
trally, leading to significant differences between groups
in these weeks.

**LPS.** Both groups showed a similar development of
rumen LPS concentrations over the course of the trial
as indicated by the significant time effect and absence
of any significant interactions (Figure 1E). Between wk 1
and 3, a decrease was observed followed by an increase from wk 4 until wk 6. Thereafter, LPS
centations continuously decreased until wk 10. A
tendency for a group \(\times\) time interaction was observed
due to a significant difference between groups in wk 5
(CG higher, \(P = 0.012\)) and wk 10 (PG higher, \(P =
0.023\)). The significant location effect indicated that on
average the LPS concentrations were higher ventrally
than medially. Medial and ventral LPS concentrations
 correlated positively with THI (medial, \(r = 0.45, P =
0.045\); ventral, \(r = 0.52, P = 0.018\)).

Serum glucose concentrations exhibited a similar
development in both groups (\(P_{\text{Group}} = 0.113, P_{\text{time}} <
0.001, P_{G \times T} = 0.427\), data not shown; similar develop-
ment in fistulated and nonfistulated animals; sample
collection and analysis, and data described in Schären
et al., 2016) and correlated negatively with rumen LPS
centations (medial: \(r = -0.59, P = 0.006\); ventral: \(r =
-0.68, P < 0.001\)).

**Rumen Content**

Total rumen content averaged 84.7 ± 12.8 kg (mean ± SD)
with an average DM content of 134 ± 18 g/kg (mean ± SD)
over the course of the trial and groups (Figure 2A). Rumen DM content was influenced by a
time and group \(\times\) time effect due to a lower DM
content in the PG in wk 5 compared with wk 1 and 10
and a lower DM content in PG than CG in wk 5 (7.1 vs.
10.2 kg of DM). Within the CG, time did not affect
rumen DM content. Rumen non-DM content exhibited
a group \(\times\) time interaction due to a lower non-DM
content in the PG in wk 5 compared with wk 1 and 10,
whereas non-DM content in CG did not differ in time.

**Rumen Papillae Collection**

The average mean papilla surface area was 0.64 ±
0.07 cm\(^2\) (one side) and exhibited a significant group \(\times\)
time \(\times\) location interaction (Figure 2B). A lower papil-
lae area was observed in wk 5 in the PG at the loca-
tion saccus cecus caudodorsalis (\(P < 0.05\)) and saccus
ventralis (\(P = 0.10\)) compared with wk 1. In the CG,
the mean papillae area decreased over the course of the trial
at the locations saccus ventralis and saccus cecus
caudodentralis. Papillae surface area was significantly
lower in wk 5 for PG compared with CG at the location
saccus cecus caudodorsalis. Total rumen DM content
and total mean papillae area correlated significantly (\(r
= 0.54, P < 0.001\)).

Histopathological analysis of rumen papillae revealed
either no visible lesions, or a minimal or moderate fo-
cal or multifocal purulent-pustular inflammation in the
epithelium, and minimal or moderate focal or multi-
focal lymphoplasmacellular infiltrates, either with or
without neutrophils, in the lamina propria. Examples of
inflammatory lesions are depicted in Figure 3. A higher
number of tissue samples with inflammatory lesions in
the PG were observed in wk 5 compared with wk 1, and
in wk 5 a higher number of samples with lesions were
present in PG than in CG, at the location saccus cecus
caudodorsalis (Figure 2C).

**VFA Absorption Test**

The pH of the buffer solution increased linearly during
the 60-min incubation period and is described as
follows: \(pH = 5.01 ± 0.03 + 0.031 ± 0.001 \times \text{time (min)}\)
(\(r = 0.96; P < 0.001\)). The linearity of the pH
increase over time was further confirmed by continuous
pH assessment during the incubation period in wk 10
(data not shown). For end pH, time as well as group \(\times\)
time effects were significant (Figure 2D). In the PG, a
lower end pH was observed in wk 5 compared with wk 1
and 10, and in wk 10 compared with wk 1. In wk 5, end
pH was also lower in PG than in CG (6.53 vs. 6.91).
In the CG, a decrease in end pH was observed over the
course of the trial. Papillae area at the 3 locations as
well as the mean papillae area correlated with the end
pH of the buffer solution (saccus cecus caudodorsalis: \(r
= 0.52, P = 0.004\); saccus ventralis: \(r = 0.62, P < 0.001\);
saccus cecus caudodentralis: \(r = 0.53, P = 0.003\); mean:
\(r = 0.66, P < 0.001\)). Buffer solution VFA concentra-
tions at the beginning of the incubation were 100.2 ±
5.7 mmol/L of C2, 41.1 ± 2.6 mmol/L of C3, and 23.4
± 1.5 mmol/L of C4 (mean ± SD). For the end con-
centrations of C2, C3, and C4, a time effect as well as
a group \(\times\) time interaction was observed (Figure 2E).
In the PG, higher C2 concentrations were observed in
wk 5 compared with wk 1 and 10, and in wk 5 C2
concentrations were higher in PG than in CG (71.5 vs.
55.6 mmol/L). In the CG, an increase occurred over
Figure 2. Effect of ration change from TMR to pasture on different rumen variables measured in wk 1, 5, and 10 of the trial. Confinement group (CG); pasture group (PG). Numbers in graphs B and C indicate different locations within the rumen: 1 = saccus cecus caudodorsalis, 2 = saccus ventralis, 3 = saccus cecus caudoventralis. (A) Rumen content. Dry matter content: pooled SEM (PSEM) = 0.7, significance: group (G): $P = 0.339$, time (T): $P = 0.001$, G×T: $P = 0.006$; non-DM content: PSEM = 5.0, significance: group: $P = 0.703$, time: $P = 0.084$, G×T: $P = 0.008$. (B) Mean papillae area. PSEM = 0.07. Significance: group: $P = 0.417$, time: $P = 0.116$, location (L): $P = 0.069$, G×T: $P = 0.077$, G×L: $P = 0.330$; T×L: $P = 0.456$, G×T×L: $P = 0.023$. (C) Histopathological analysis of papillae. Illustrated as fraction of samples with lesions ($n = 5$ per time, group, and location; statistical analysis: Fisher’s exact tests). (D) pH of VFA absorption test (VFA-AT) buffer solution after incubation. PSEM = 0.09, significance: group: $P < 0.001$, G×T: $P = 0.003$. (E) VFA concentrations in VFA-AT buffer solution after incubation. Acetic acid (C2): PSEM = 2.8, significance: group: $P = 0.058$, time: $P = 0.001$, G×T: $P = 0.005$; propionic acid (C3): PSEM = 0.8, significance: group: $P = 0.492$, time: $P < 0.001$, G×T: $P = 0.003$; butyric acid (C4): PSEM = 0.4, significance: group: $P = 0.793$, time: $P = 0.003$, G×T: $P = 0.014$. (F) Fractional absorption rate of VFA during VFA-AT. C2: PSEM = 0.07, significance: group: $P < 0.478$, time: $P = 0.085$, G×T: $P = 0.198$. C3: PSEM = 0.07, significance: group: $P = 0.654$, time: $P = 0.064$, G×T: $P = 0.245$. C4: PSEM = 0.08, significance: group: $P = 0.548$, time: $P = 0.137$, G×T: $P = 0.265$. (G) Influx of water into the rumen during VFA-AT. PSEM = 2.0, significance: group: $P = 0.482$, time: $P = 0.608$, G×T: $P = 0.820$. (H) Fractional liquid passage rate during VFA-AT. PSEM = 0.07, significance: group: $P = 0.247$, time: $P = 0.860$, G×T: $P = 0.471$. Different superscripts indicate significant differences between groups in a particular week (and location in case of papilla area and histopathological analysis of papillae; $† P < 0.10$; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$). Letters indicate significant differences between weeks within particular group (and location in case of papilla area and histopathological analysis of papillae; $P < 0.05$). The CG stayed on a TMR-based ration during the entire trial, whereas the PG was slowly introduced to a pasture-based ration: wk 1: TMR; wk 2: TMR and 3 h pasture/d; wk 3 and 4: TMR and 12 h pasture/d; wk 5 to 10: pasture and 1.75 kg of DM concentrate/d.
the course of the trial. Similar to C2, C3 concentrations were higher in the PG in wk 5 (21.9 vs. 19.2 mmol/L) and increased in the CG between wk 1 and 10. For C4 concentrations, no significant differences between groups were present in wk 5, and patterns similar to those of C2 and C3 within groups (increase and subsequent decrease in PG, overall increase in CG) were observed. Papillae area at the location saccus cecus caudodorsalis correlated with the end concentrations of C2 and C3 (C2: $r = -0.37$, $P = 0.045$; C3: $r = -0.34$, $P = 0.065$).

Changes in FAR reflected the alterations observed in buffer solution pH and VFA concentrations numerically, but no significant group, time, or group × time effects were observed (Figure 2F). Papillae area at the location saccus cecus caudodorsalis correlated with the FAR of C2 ($r = 0.34$; $P = 0.065$).

No statistical significant group, time or group × time interaction was observed for water inflow into the rumen and FLPR (Figure 2G and H).

**DISCUSSION**

Recently we reported the influence of a transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration on production and health traits (n = 60; Schären et al., 2016). In the current work, we present rumen variables and performance data collected in 10 duodenum- and rumen-fistulated cows assessed during this trial. Even though the average parity of the fistulated animals (4.5 ± 2.2) was
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*Figure 3. Examples of hematoxylin and eosin stained sections of rumen papillae. A) No lesions; B) lymphoplasmacytic (bottom arrow) and neutrophilic granulocyte (top arrow) infiltration in lamina propria; C) lymphoplasmacytic (arrow) infiltration in lamina propria; D) pustular inflammation (containing neutrophilic granulocytes, arrow) of the rumen epithelium. Color version available online.*
higher compared with the overall group (1.9 ± 1.6), in
general similar alterations in animal performance were
observed. Further, none of the animals were subjected
to SARA challenge studies before this trial. Data on
SARA risk can therefore be regarded as unbiased. The
CP content of the TMR was unusually low due to unex-
pected poor grass silage quality. Its influence on animal
performance and health has been discussed in Schären
et al. (2016), and its influence on rumen variables is
discussed in the relevant section of the present paper.

Due to this unexpected low feed quality in the CG,
we decided to place the emphasis of the discussion not
only on the comparison between groups, but also on
alterations within the PG over time (horizontal devel-
opment).

In the 60 animals, we observed a decrease in milk
production, BCS, and BW as well as an increase in
milk fat content, and in serum BHB and fatty acid
concentrations in the PG during the first weeks on a
full grazing ration, indicating an energy deficit. After
a few weeks on a full grazing ration, serum fatty acid
concentrations and milk fat content decreased and BW
increased again. We suggested that these alterations
may be related to an initially decreased DMI followed
by a metabolic and behavioral adaptation leading to an
increase in DMI in the second half of the full-grazing
period (wk 8–10). This was supported by the fact that
the measured DMI on pasture in wk 7 and 9 (using the
n-alkane method) were lower compared with the CG
and exhibited an increase between the points in time.

We hypothesized that this ration change from a TMR
to a pasture-based ration involved complex physiologi-
cal and structural adaptations of the rumen. This was
confirmed by alterations observed in various rumen vari-
able presented in the current work. The lower rumen
content in PG compared with CG in wk 5, which did
not occur in wk 1 and 10, is in line with the assumption
that DMI first decreased substantially as soon as the
cows did not have access to a TMR indoors anymore
and increased thereafter again. Increased clearance rate
from the rumen of grass compared with TMR may
also have resulted in reduced rumen contents in wk 5.
However, because total rumen content in wk 10 did not
differ between PG and CG, it seems unlikely that the
lower rumen fill in wk 5 can predominantly be ascribed
to a faster fermentation and passage of grass compared
with TMR in the rumen, and a reduced DMI in wk 5 is
a more likely explanation. We assume that this change
in DMI in the PG group and thereby intake of fOM led
to alterations in fermentation patterns and VFA yield,
which is reflected in the initial decrease of variation in
rumen pH in wk 5 to 7 and later increase in wk 8 to 10.
We further assume that due to the increased intake of
grass (being a fast fermentable substrate) throughout
the trial, rumen average daily pH decreased continu-
ously between wk 2 and wk 10 in the PG. This is also
mirrored in total VFA concentrations, which increased
in the PG from wk 7 onward, as well as in the decrease
of C2% and C2/C3 and concurrent increase in C4%,
indicating an increase in fermentation rate as well as
the fermentation of WSC (Van Houtert, 1993; Lee et
al., 2002; Storm and Kristensen, 2010).

Diets with a higher fiber content and longer particle
length promote ruminal stratification (Tafaj et al.,
2004; Storm and Kristensen, 2010). Storm and Kris-
tensen (2010) stated that in low-fiber rations the rumen
stratification might be less pronounced, resulting in a
more homogenous ruminal content. We therefore hy-
pothesized that a pasture-based ration in a continuous
grazing system with a relatively short herbage height
would lead to smaller intraruminal differences. How-
ever, none of the measured variables were indicative of
a decrease in ruminal stratification. A possible reason
could be the higher NDF content of the pasture com-
pared with the TMR in our case, but further research
such as the measuring of average particle length at
different sites within the rumen is needed to elucidate
possible interrelations.

The concurrent evolution of total rumen content and
mean rumen papillae area illustrates the influence of
fermentation processes on rumen papillae morphology.
Several studies have shown that an increased VFA load
in the rumen is a strong stimulus for papillae growth
(Dirksen et al., 1984; Liebich et al., 1987; Bannink et
al., 2012; Dieho et al., 2016). We therefore suggest that
due to a decrease in fOM intake during the first days
on a full grazing ration rumen papillae area decreased.
Thereafter, due to an increase in ingestion of fOM in wk
8 to 10 epithelial cell proliferation was stimulated and
therefore no significant difference between groups was
observed in wk 10. A possible explanation for the fact
that this alteration in papillae area mainly occurred at
the location saccus cecus caudodorsalis could be that
due to the lower rumen fill these papillae were less in
contact with rumen content and thereby VFA.

Other studies have described a concurrent evolution
of papillae area and VFA FAR in feed-deprived animals
as well as when dietary energy intake was increased
over time (Dirksen et al., 1984; Giebel et al., 1993; Mar-
tens et al., 2012). Although ration did not significantly
affect FAR, it is likely that the higher VFA concentra-
tions and lower pH in the buffer solution at the end
of incubation, which we observed in the PG in wk 5,
indicate reduced VFA clearance related to reduced ru-
men papillae surface area. This is also supported by
significant correlations between papillae area and buf-
or event. Further, the NH₃-N concentration measured other measured variable (such as pasture CP content) PG and CG were observed, except for peaks in wk 4. This is mirrored in following observations: low average rumen pH increased, nitrogen balance of the TMR as well. This change most certainly caused an alteration in rumen fermentation, morphology, and VFA-AT can be ascribed to the alterations observed in the CG concerning rumen fermentation variables in grazing cows (Holden et al., 1994; Bargo et al., 2002b; Taweel et al., 2004; Abrahamsen et al., 2008). A possible explanation for this observation could lie in the chosen sampling time. During our trial, rumen samples were collected in the morning after milking and substantial grazing activity was prevented by rounding up the PG for milking at sunrise. Khalili and Sairanen (2000) and Soriano et al. (2000) reported similar rumen NH₃-N concentrations in the morning followed by a continuous increase as soon as grazing activity started (with a 2- to 3-fold increase 6 to 9 h later).

With advancing stage of lactation, a modest reduction in milk production during the trial was expected. In the CG, however, we observed a more pronounced decrease in milk yield and BCS in particular in wk 8 to 10 of the trial, probably due to poor quality of grass silage fed in wk 5 to 10 of the trial (elaborately described in Schären et al., 2016). We suggest that several alterations observed in the CG concerning rumen fermentation, morphology, and VFA-AT can be ascribed to the decreased amount of CP and consequential low rumen nitrogen balance of the TMR as well. This change most certainly caused an alteration in rumen fermentation pattern and probably a decrease in fermentation rate. This is mirrored in following observations: low average NH₃-N concentrations, average rumen pH increased, papillae area decreased, total VFA concentrations decreased, medial C2% and C2/C3 increased (except wk 10), VFA-AT buffer solution pH and VFA concentra-

To evaluate possible risk for SARA during a ration change from TMR to pasture we assessed ruminal pH using continuous rumen pH measuring devices, and collected ruminal fluid samples to determine LPS concentrations and rumen papillae for histopathological analysis at different time points. During the transition from TMR to a pasture-based ration (wk 2–8), no increased risk for SARA was observed on either group or individual level. Rumen LPS concentrations developed similarly between groups over the course of the trial indicating an influence by a common factor such as climate condition rather than ration type. However, a higher amount of animals with samples exhibiting lesions was observed in the PG compared with the CG in wk 5. Because this elevation in positive samples only occurred in the samples collected dorsally in the rumen and because this was also the site where the most pronounced decrease in papillae area was observed, a causal relationship with increased inflammation is not clear. Nevertheless, the concurrent observed lower ruminal VFA absorption potential suggests that the transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration temporarily adversely affects rumen physiology. Further, a gradual decrease of rumen pH over the course of the trial, a numerical increase in time pH <5.8, and individual scores based on rumen pH measurements in wk 9 and 10 in the PG are indicative for an increased risk for SARA on a full-grazing ration. This is in line with other studies that observed a low rumen pH in dairy cows on a pasture-based ration (Bramley et al., 2008; O’Grady et al., 2008). However, rumen LPS concentrations and papillae histopathology were not negatively influenced in that period. The absence of such negative effects supports the assumption of other authors that a low ruminal pH arising from high fOM intake from pasture does not necessarily compromise cow performance (Kolver and de Veth, 2002).

An increase in rumen LPS concentration due to an increased concentrate proportion has been elaborately described, but few data can be found on other factors that influence rumen LPS concentrations (Zebeli and Ametaj, 2009; Plaizier et al., 2012; Dänicie et al., 2014). In the previous publication, we described possible heat stress during wk 5 and between wk 7 to 8 in both groups (Schären et al., 2016). We proposed that alterations in urine creatinine and total N excretion as well as serum glucose concentrations observed in both groups during that time were caused by heat stress. We also observed a positive correlation between THI and rumen LPS concentrations. Therefore, we suggest that alterations in fermentation conditions in the rumen oc-
curred in these weeks due to increased THI. Baumgard and Rhoads (2013) and Baumgard et al. (2014) suggested that the hyperinsulinemia causing a decrease in serum glucose concentrations during heat stress might be initiated by increased levels of LPS in circulation, because studies in other mammals have shown that heat-stressed animals exhibit increased gut leakage. During our trial, we observed a negative correlation between serum glucose and rumen LPS concentrations, which supports this hypothesis.

These correlations between THI and various variables illustrate the strong influence of climate conditions on dairy cow physiology. In pasture-based systems, in contrast to a confinement TMR-based system, the chemical composition of the ration (Parker and Edwards, 1996; Smit et al., 2004; Abrahamse et al., 2009), and the animals themselves (Legrand et al., 2009) are much more subject to weather and seasonal influences. We observed an interrelation between THI, pasture CP content, and metabolic urea concentrations (serum and milk urea, and urine total N concentrations; described in Schären et al., 2016). Further, also other factors influencing DMI and cow physiology partly depend on weather conditions as well as management (such as herbage allowance, botanical composition of pasture, pre-grazing pasture mass, supplementation strategy, grazing system, and so on; Mayne et al., 2000; Gibb, 2006; Van Vuuren and Van den Pol-Van Dasselaar, 2006). To exclude reasons other than a lower DMI for the alterations observed during this ration change, and to test whether this could be anticipated by an appropriate supplementation or grazing system, further research is needed.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Rumen fermentation variables indicated a decreased fermentation activity during transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration. Concurrently, a lower rumen content, rumen papillae surface area, and potential for VFA absorption was observed. After a few weeks of a full grazing ration, fermentation activity increased and rumen content, papillae surface area, and potential for VFA absorption were similar to initial levels again. Further, a continuous decrease in mean rumen pH and molar acetate proportions, and an increase in molar butyrate proportions over the course of the trial was observed, which can most likely be ascribed to an increased intake of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates. Continuous rumen pH assessments and LPS concentrations did not reveal an increased risk for SARA during the transition period, but histopathological analysis of rumen papillae and a decreased potential for VFA absorption indicate adverse effects in the initial phase of transition. Results of the present study suggest that after behavioral and metabolic adaptation to the transition from a TMR to a pasture-based ration, no adverse effects on rumen morphology and absorption capacity occurred, although rumen pH after adaptation to pasture indicated increased risk of SARA. Further studies are needed to confirm these results and exclude reasons other than the ration change as causes for the alterations observed.
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